arXiv:1702.02717v2 [math.DG] 15 Mar 2017

# A CHARACTERISATION OF SMOOTH MAPS INTO A HOMOGENEOUS SPACE

ANTHONY D. BLAOM

Abstract. We generalize Cartan's logarithmic derivative of a smooth map from a manifold into a Lie group $G$ to smooth maps into a homogeneous space $M = G/H$, and determine the global monodromy obstruction to reconstructing such maps from infinitesimal data. The logarithmic derivative of the embedding of a submanifold $\Sigma \subset M$ becomes an invariant of $\Sigma$ under symmetries of the 'Klein geometry' $M$ whose analysis is taken up in the article, *Lie algebroid invariants for subgeometry*.

## 1. Introduction

According to a theorem of Élie Cartan, a smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to G$, from a connected manifold $\Sigma$ into a Lie group $G$, is uniquely determined by its *logarithmic derivative*, up to right translations in $G$. This derivative, also known as the Darboux derivative of $f$, is a one-form $\delta f$ on $\Sigma$ taking values in the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$. Here we formulate and prove a generalization of this result to smooth maps $f\colon \Sigma \to G/H$ into an arbitrary homogeneous space $G/H$. We describe explicitly the global obstructions to reconstructing such maps from infinitesimal data, data that generalizes logarithmic derivatives (generalized Mauer-Cartan forms).

Cartan's theorem is commonly associated with his method of moving frames for studying subgeometry. While the moving frames method can be reinterpreted within the present framework, it is possible to study subgeometry using the new theory without fixing frames or local coordinates. Both frame and frame-free illustrations are given in a companion article [2]. It is instructive to review Cartan's approach here. For more detail we recommend [9].

### 1.1. Cartan's method of moving frames.

To classify, with a unified approach, the submanifolds of Euclidean space, affine space, conformal spheres, projective space, and so on, the ambient space $M$ is viewed as a homogeneous space $G/H$, i.e., as a 'Klein geometry' (a term we will give a specific meaning in §2.1). Here $G$ is a group of symmetries of the geometric structure on $M$, which acts transitively by assumption.

Using the group structure, one tries to replace the embedding $f\colon \Sigma \to G/H$ of a submanifold $\Sigma$ with certain data defined just on $\Sigma$ and amounting to an infinitesimalization of the map $f$. The infinitesimal data consists of *invariants* of $f$ — that is, the data depends on $f$ only up to symmetries of $G/H$ ($G$-translations).

However, these invariants ought to be *complete*, in the sense that they are sufficient for the reconstruction of $f$, up to symmetry.

Cartan's method for finding a complete set of invariants is in two steps. In the first step one attempts to lift the embedding $f\colon \Sigma \to M \cong G/H$ to a smooth map $\tilde{f}\colon \Sigma \to G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & G \\ & \overset{\tilde{f}}{\nearrow} & \big\downarrow \\ \Sigma & \xrightarrow{f} & G/H \end{array} \quad .$$

The lift, which is not unique, should be as canonical as possible, to make the identification of invariants easier later on. For example, given a curve in Euclidean three-space $f\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^3$, one obtains a lift $\tilde{f}\colon [0,1] \to G$ into the group of rigid motions by declaring $\tilde{f}(t)$ to be the rigid motion mapping the Frenet frame of the curve at $f(0)$ to the Frenet frame at $f(t)$ — the 'moving frame'.

Now the basic infinitesimal invariant of a Lie group $G$ is the Mauer-Cartan form, a one-form on $G$ taking values in its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In the second step of Cartan's procedure, one pulls the Mauer-Cartan form back from $G$ to a one-form $\delta\tilde{f}$ on $\Sigma$ using the lifted map $\tilde{f}\colon \Sigma \to G$. By Cartan's theorem recalled below, one can reconstruct $\tilde{f}\colon \Sigma \to G$, and hence the map $f\colon \Sigma \to G/H$, from a knowledge of $\delta\tilde{f}$ alone, which accordingly encodes (indirectly) complete invariants for the embedding.

1.2. **Smooth maps into a Lie group.** Fix a Lie group $G$ and let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote its Lie algebra. A *Mauer-Cartan form* on a smooth manifold $\Sigma$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\omega$ satisfying the Mauer-Cartan equations,

$$d\omega_k + \sum_{i<j} c_k^{ij}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = 0, \tag{1}$$

where the $\omega_k$ are components of $\omega$ with respect to some basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $c_k^{ij}$ the corresponding structure constants. We have written the Mauer-Cartan equations as they are most commonly recognized, although this is not best representation from the present point of view, as we shall see.

The Lie group $G$ itself supports a unique right-invariant Mauer-Cartan form $\omega_G$ that is the identity on $T_eG = \mathfrak{g}$. Every smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to G$ pulls $\omega_G$ back to a Mauer-Cartan form on $\Sigma$, here denoted $\delta f$. Since

$$\delta f\Big(\frac{d}{dt}x(t)\Big) = \frac{d}{d\tau}f(x(\tau))f(x(t))^{-1}\Big|_{\tau=t},$$

or $\delta f = d\log(f)$ in the special case $G = (0,\infty)$, $\delta f$ is called the *logarithmic derivative* of $f$.

**Theorem** (Cartan)**.** *Every Mauer-Cartan form $\omega$ on a simply-connected manifold $\Sigma$ is the logarithmic derivative of some smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to G$. If $f'\colon \Sigma \to G$ is*

*a second map with logarithmic derivative $\omega$, then there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $f'(x) = f(x)g$.*

One says that $f$ is a *primitive* of $\omega$. If $\Sigma$ is not simply-connected, then the obstruction to the existence of a primitive is known as the *monodromy*. Anticipating our later generalization, we recall two forms of the monodromy here. For further details see, e.g., [14, Theorem 7.14, p. 124].

The *invariant form* of the monodromy is a groupoid morphism

$$\Omega\colon \Pi(\Sigma) \to G, \tag{2}$$

where $\Pi(\Sigma)$ is the fundamental groupoid $\Pi(\Sigma)$ of $\Sigma$. By definition, an element of $[\gamma] \in \Pi(\Sigma)$ is the homotopy equivalence class of a path $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \Sigma$ (endpoints fixed). Since the interval $[0,1]$ is simply-connected, the Mauer-Cartan form $\gamma^*\omega$ on $[0,1]$ admits, by Cartan's theorem, a unique primitive $\Gamma\colon [0,1] \to G$ satisfying $\Gamma(0) = 1_G$, known as the *development* of $\omega$ along the path $\gamma$. One shows that $\Gamma(1)$ depends only on the class $[\gamma]$ and one defines $\Omega([\gamma]) = \Gamma(1)$.

If $\omega$ is the logarithmic derivative of some map $f\colon \Sigma \to G$, then $\Omega([\gamma]) = f(\gamma(1))f(\gamma(0))^{-1}$. In particular, fixing some $x_0 \in \Sigma$,

$$f(x) = \Omega([\gamma])f(x_0), \tag{3}$$

where $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \Sigma$ is any path from $x_0$ to $x$. If $\omega$ is an arbitrary Mauer-Cartan form, then we attempt to *define* a primitive $f\colon \Sigma \to G$ by (3). The group of all elements of $\Pi(\Sigma)$ beginning at ending at $x_0$ is the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma, x_0)$ and $f$ is well-defined if the restriction of $\Omega$ to a group homomorphism $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}\colon \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to G$ — which we call the *pointed form* of the monodromy — is trivial, i.e., takes on the constant value $1_G$. This condition is evidently independent of the choice of fixed point $x_0$.

1.3. **Complete invariants without lifts.** Global lifts as described above do not exist in general and Cartan's method has been largely limited to the *local* reconstruction of smooth maps into a homogeneous space, and the special case of curves ($\dim \Sigma = 1$). This is despite the fact that Theorem 1.2 and the monodromy obstruction are global results!

A generalization of Theorem 1.2 to smooth maps $f\colon \Sigma \to G/H$ obviates the need for lifts. Specifically, what we present here is a characterization of smooth maps $f\colon \Sigma \to M$, where $M$ is an arbitrary space on which some Lie group $G$ is acting transitively, a subtle but significant change in viewpoint, as we shall explain in §2.1. Our results are naturally formulated in the language of Lie algebroids, and the proof is an application of Lie's first and second fundamental theorems generalized to Lie groupoids (Lie I and Lie II) with which we will assume some familiarity; see, e.g., [7]. In lieu of Lie III, which does not generalize, a guiding role is played by the *Weinstein groupoid* of a Lie algebroid, introduced by Alan Weinstein and described in detail in Crainic and Fernandes [6]. Standard introductions to Lie groupoids and algebroids are [7, 5, 8, 10].

Theorem 2.8 summarises our results. In §1.4–§1.9 below we preview the existence part of these results and outline the proof. The question of uniqueness is more delicate in the generalized case. Under a relatively mild condition on the isotropy groups of the action of $G$ on $M$, primitives are unique up to symmetry, but we must qualify what we mean by 'symmetry', a task postponed until Section 2. A detailed proof of existence is given in Section 3.

1.4. **Logarithmic derivatives.** In Lie algebroid language, a Mauer-Cartan form on $\Sigma$ is nothing more than a morphism $\omega\colon T\Sigma \to \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie algebroids, and Theorem 1.2 a special case of Lie II, as is well-known. In the general setting, we replace the Mauer-Cartan form on $G$ with the action algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \times M$ associated with the action of $G$ on $M$, and use $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ to pull $\mathfrak{g} \times M$ back to a Lie algebroid $A(f)$ over $\Sigma$. Of course this pullback must be performed *in the category of Lie algebroids* rather than vector bundles (see, e.g., [11, §4.2]). The composite $\delta f\colon A(f) \to \mathfrak{g}$ of the natural maps $A(f) \to \mathfrak{g} \times M \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebroid morphism, which becomes the *logarithmic derivative* of $f$. The results to be described here show that $\delta f$ is a complete invariant of $f$.[1]

If, for example, $\Sigma \subset M$ is a submanifold and $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ the embedding, then $A(f)$ is the subbundle of the trivial bundle $\mathfrak{g} \times \Sigma \to \Sigma$ consisting of all pairs $(\xi, x)$ having the property that the integral curve on $M$ through $x \in \Sigma$ of the infinitesimal generator $\xi^\dagger$ of $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is tangent to $\Sigma \subset M$. The anchor of $A(f)$ is $(\xi, x) \mapsto \xi^\dagger(x)$ and the bracket well-defined by

$$[X, Y] = \nabla_{\#X} Y - \nabla_{\#Y} X + \{X, Y\}.$$

Here $\nabla$ is the canonical flat connection on $\mathfrak{g} \times \Sigma$ and, viewing sections of $\mathfrak{g} \times \Sigma$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-valued functions, $\{X, Y\}(x) := [X(x), Y(x)]_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The logarithmic derivative $\delta f$ is the composite $A(f) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times \Sigma \to \mathfrak{g}$.

1.5. **Generalized Mauer-Cartan forms.** Given a Lie algebroid $A$ over a connected manifold $\Sigma$ we call a Lie algebroid morphism $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ a *generalized Mauer-Cartan form* if it satisfies certain local properties, formalised in §2.2, shared by logarithmic derivatives. In particular, we require that for some point $x_0 \in \Sigma$ there exists $m_0 \in M$ such that

$$\omega(A_{x_0}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{m_0}. \tag{1}$$

Here $A_{x_0}$ denotes the isotropy algebra of $A$ at $x_0 \in \Sigma$, i.e., the kernel of the restriction of the anchor to the fibre $A|_{x_0}$, while $\mathfrak{g}_{m_0}$ denotes the usual isotropy (stabiliser) at $m_0$ of the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $M$.

As a shorthand for condition (1) we write $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$. In particular, when $\omega$ is the logarithmic derivative of $f$ we have $x \xrightarrow{\omega} f(x)$ for *all* $x \in \Sigma$ (see §2.2).

[1] For the precise sense in which $\delta f$ is invariant, see Theorem 2.7.

1.6. **Principal primitives.** In fact, the most naïve notion of a primitive is not unique 'up to symmetry'. However, the naïve notion will play a role and be given a name:

**Definition.** A smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ is a *principal primitive* of a generalized Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ if there exists a Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda\colon A \to A(f)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda} & \nearrow_{\delta f} & \\ A(f) & & \end{array} \qquad (1)$$

Note that we do not assume $L$ is an isomorphism, or even that $A$ and $A(f)$ have the same rank.

1.7. **$A$-paths, monodromy, and the existence theorem.** Let $A$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold $\Sigma$. Then a smooth map $a\colon [0,1] \to A$, covering an ordinary path $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \Sigma$, is an *$A$-path* if $\#a(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$, for all $t \in [0,1]$. Here $\#\colon A \to T\Sigma$ denotes the anchor of $A$. Any smooth map $\xi\colon [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathfrak{g}$-path, defining an ordinary Mauer-Cartan form $\hat{\xi}$ on $[0,1]$ by $\hat{\xi}(\partial/\partial t) = \xi$. By Theorem 1.2, $\hat{\xi}$ admits a unique primitive $g\colon [0,1] \to G$, such that $g(0) = 1_G$, and we will write

$$\int_0^t \xi := g(t).$$

Now suppose $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a generalized Mauer-Cartan form and $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$. We define a map $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}\colon \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to M$ called the *pointed form* of the monodromy of $\omega$, as follows: Given an element $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0)$ with $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \Sigma$ smooth, let $a\colon [0,1] \to A$ be *any* $A$-path covering $\gamma$, and define

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}([\gamma]) = \left(\int_0^1 \omega \circ a\right) \cdot m_0.$$

The monodromy is *trivial* if it takes constant value $m_0$.

**Theorem** (existence of principal primitives)**.** *The pointed form of monodromy is well-defined, i.e., independent of the choice of $\gamma$ and $a$ above. Moreover, a Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ admits a principal primitive $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ if and only if its monodromy is trivial, for some choice of $x_0$ and $m_0$ with $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$. In that case $A$ is integrable and there is a unique principal primitive $f$ of $\omega$ such that $f(x_0) = m_0$.*

1.8. **Outline of the existence proof: The integrable case.** Now suppose that $A$ is a transitive Lie algebroid that is for the moment assumed to be *integrable*. That is, $A$ is the Lie algebroid of some (transitive) Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ which, by Lie I, we may take to be simply-connected. Then, according to Lie II, $\omega$ is the derivative of a unique Lie groupoid morphism

$$\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G$$

which is the *invariant form* of the monodromy of $\omega$, being the analogue of 1.2(2). Continuing the analogy, we attempt to define a principal primitive $f\colon \Sigma \to M$, mapping $x_0$ to $m_0$, by $f(x) = \Omega(p) \cdot m_0$, where $p \in \mathcal{G}$ is any arrow from $x$ to $x_0$. In this ambition we are successful, so long as $f$ is well-defined, i.e., provided

$$\Omega(\mathcal{G}_{x_0}) \subset G_{m_0}. \tag{1}$$

Here $\mathcal{G}_{x_0} \subset \mathcal{G}$ denotes the group of all arrows $p \in \mathcal{G}$ beginning and ending at $x_0$, and $G_{m_0}$ the isotropy at $m_0$ of the action of $G$ on $M$.

Now $A_{x_0}$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}_{x_0}$ and, assuming $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$, 1.5(1) implies

$$\Omega(\mathcal{G}^\circ_{x_0}) \subset G_{m_0}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{G}^\circ_{x_0}$ is the connected component of $\mathcal{G}_{x_0}$. Moreover, as the transitive Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ has connected source-fibres, there is a natural exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{G}^\circ_{x_0} \to \mathcal{G}_{x_0} \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to 1 \ . \tag{3}$$

From this and (2) we obtain a map $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}\colon \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to M$ well-defined by

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}(\rho(p)) = \Omega(p) \cdot m_0, \tag{4}$$

which turns out to be equivalent to the definition of the pointed form of the monodromy given above. By construction, our requirement (1) is equivalent to $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ taking the constant value $m_0$.

1.9. **Outline of the existence proof: General case.** Note that the integrability of $A$ above is a necessary condition for the existence of primitives, for it is satisfied by all logarithmic derivatives: $A(f)$ is the Lie algebroid of $\mathcal{G}(f)$, the pull-back by $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ of the action groupoid $G \times M$. However, many examples of non-integrable Lie algebroids are known [7]. Obstructions to integrability are understood — for example, any *transitive* Lie algebroid over a manifold $\Sigma$ with trivial second fundamental group is integrable — but the obstructions are subtle.[2] The transitive case is due to Kirill Mackenzie, while the general case is due to Crainic and Fernandes [6]; see also MacKenzie's historical notes [11, §8.4]. Note that the integrability of $A$ is automatic if $M = G$ because, according to our definitions, we will have $A \cong T\Sigma$ in that case.

Fortunately, we may still define the invariant form of the monodromy without assuming $A$ is integrable by generalizing the classical notion of development recalled in §1.2 above. As intimated in §1.7, instead of developing the Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ along paths, we develop along $A$-paths. The collection of all $A$-paths, modulo a homotopy-like equivalence relation, defines the *Weinstein groupoid* $\mathcal{G}(A)$ of $A$ , a certain *topological* groupoid that is a proxy for a Lie groupoid integrating $A$ [6, 7]. As we shall see, development defines a morphism of groupoids, the *invariant form* of the monodromy (general case),

$$\Omega\colon \mathcal{G}(A) \to G.$$

[2]Seventeen years separate Pradines' erroneous assertion [13] that all Lie algebroids are integrable and the first counterexample of Alemeida and Molino [1].

Assuming again $x_0 \overset{\omega}{\to} m_0$, and mimicking our arguments for the integrable case, we recover exactly our original definition of $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}\colon \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to M$ given in §1.7. When this is trivial, we may construct a candidate for a principal primitive $f$ as before. To show it is a bona fide principal primitive we show that it coincides, over each neighbourhood over which $A$ is integrable, with a principal primitive constructed à la §1.8.

1.10. **Invariants for subgeometry and Bonnet-type theorems.** As far as we know, Cartan's method of moving frames is the only general technique for obtaining invariants of a submanifold $\Sigma$ of a Klein geometry $M \cong G/H$, and for proving theorems which reconstruct the submanifold from its invariants (up to symmetry). The fundamental theorem of surfaces (Bonnet theorem) is a prototype for results of this kind. For the special class of parabolic geometries ($G/H$ a flag manifold) an approach based on tractor bundles is outlined in [3] and successfully applied to conformal geometry (see also [4]). These author's do not describe the monodromy, however, restricting their attention to the case of simply-connected submanifolds.

While the logarithmic derivative $\delta f$ introduced here delivers a complete invariant of an embedding $f\colon \Sigma \hookrightarrow G/H$, it is usually too abstract to be immediately useful. In [2] we take up the problem of 'deconstructing' this invariant, and offer illustrations to concrete geometries.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Yuri Vyatkin, Sean Curry, and Andreas Čap for helpful discussions.

**Bracket convention.** Throughout this article, brackets on Lie algebras and Lie algebroids are defined using *right*-invariant vector fields.

**Notation.** We reserve the symbol $G$ for Lie groups and $\mathfrak{g}$ for Lie algebras. The symbols $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}(A)$ denote a Lie groupoids; $A$ and $A(f)$ denote Lie algebroids. Lie algebroid morphisms will generally be denoted by lowercase greek letters, and their lifts to Lie groupoid morphisms (via Lie II) by corresponding uppercase letters.

## 2. Generalized Mauer-Cartan forms and the uniqueness of primitives up to symmetry

This section defines generalized Mauer-Cartan forms and primitives, and establishes the uniqueness of primitives up to symmetry, a corollary of its central result, Theorem 2.7.

2.1. **Symmetries of a Klein geometry.** For the purposes of constructing a theory with the proper invariance, a homogeneous space is to be understood as a smooth manifold $M$ on which some Lie group $G$ is acting smoothly, from the left, and transitively — hereafter called a *Klein geometry with transitively acting Lie group* $G$. While a choice of point $m_0 \in M$ gives us an identification $M \cong G/H$,

formulations depending on a choice of base point are to be eschewed.[3] This decision has a somewhat unexpected consequence, anticipated by reconsidering the simplest case.

According to Cartan's theorem, a smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to G$ is uniquely determined by its logarithmic derivative, up to symmetries of $G$. Here a 'symmetry' is a right group translation. However, to obtain an invariant version of Cartan's result we must broaden both the notion of symmetry and what it means to be a primitive. To see why, consider a smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$, where $M$ is a smooth manifold on which $G$ is acting transitively and *freely*, so that $M \cong G$, up to choice of basepoint. In order to drop the right-invariant Mauer-Cartan form $\omega_G$ on $G$ to a one-form on $M$, we must suppose here that $G$ is acting on $M$ from the *left*. For then, fixing $m_0 \in M$ and defining a $\Phi(g) = g \cdot m_0$, the diffeomorphism $\Phi\colon G \to M$ pushes $\omega_G$ forward to a one-form $\omega_M$ on $M$ that is independent of the choice of $m_0$. But then $\omega_M$ is *not* invariant with respect to the action of $G$ — rather it is *equivariant*, if we regard $G$ as acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by adjoint action. In particular, two smooth maps $f_1, f_2\colon \Sigma \to M$ with $f_2(x) = g \cdot f_1(x)$, $x \in \Sigma$, have, in general, *different* logarithmic derivatives: $f_2^*\omega_M = \langle \mathrm{Ad}_g, f_1^*\omega_M\rangle$.

To proceed one defines $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ to be a *primitive* of a one-form $\omega$ on $\Sigma$ if $f^*\omega_M$ and $\omega$ agree 'up to adjoint action'. The price one pays for this relaxed definition is that the logarithmic derivative $f^*\omega_M$ only determines $f$ up to a larger class of symmetries of $M$. Under an identification $M \cong G$, these symmetries consist of the diffeomorphisms generated by all right *and* left translations.

Symmetries in the general case are formalised as follows:

**Definition.** Let $M$ be a Klein geometry with transitively acting Lie group $G$. Then a *symmetry* of $M$ is any diffeomorphism $\phi\colon M \to M$ for which there exists some $l \in G$ such that $\phi(g \cdot m) = lgl^{-1} \cdot \phi(m)$ for all $g \in G$, $m \in M$.

The symmetries of $M$ form a Lie group, henceforth denoted $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$. Evidently, $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ contains every left translation $\phi(m) := k \cdot m$, $k \in G$ (take $l = k$). The following characterization of symmetries is readily verified:

**Proposition.** *Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and identify $M$ with the left coset space $G/H$, where $H$ denotes the isotropy at $m_0$. Let $l \in G$ be arbitrary and suppose $r \in G$ is in the normaliser of $H$, so that there exists a map $\phi\colon G/H \to G/H$ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{g \,\mapsto\, lgr^{-1}} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G/H \end{array} .$$

[3]Geometries in the real world do not come with a preferred choice of basepoint. Basepoints are an artefact of Klein's abstraction of geometry, not an intrinsic feature.

*Then $\phi$ is a symmetry of $M$ and all symmetries of $M$ arise in this way. In other words, the Lie group $W := N_G(H)/H$ acts on the left of $G/H$ according to*

$$rH \cdot gH = gr^{-1}H,$$

*(an action commuting with the left action of $G$) and* $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ *is the Lie group generated by both left translations and those transformations of $M \cong G/H$ defined by the action of $W$. Here $N_G(H)$ denotes the normaliser of $H$ in $G$.*

In contrast to the special case in which $G$ acts freely, $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ is frequently not much larger than the group $G$ of left translations, in applications of interest to geometers:

**Examples.**

(1) Take $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, let $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be any linear Lie group whose fixed point set is the origin, and let $G \cong H \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ be the group of transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by translations and elements of $H$. Then $N_G(H) = H$ and accordingly $\mathrm{Aut}(M) = G$.
(2) (Affine geometry) As special cases of (1), we may take $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and obtain the affine and equi-affine geometries, with $\mathrm{Aut}(M) = G$.
(3) (Euclidean, elliptic and hyperbolic geometry) Take $M$ to be one of Riemannian space forms $\mathbb{R}^n$, $S^n$ or $\mathbb{H}^n$, and let $G$ be the full group of isometries. Then in every case it is possible to show that each element of $N_G(H)/H$ has a representative $r \in N_G(H)$ lying in the centre of $G$, and it follows easily that $\mathrm{Aut}(M) = G$.
(4) (Special elliptic geometry) Take $M = S^n$ but let $G$ be the group of *orientation-preserving* isometries, $\mathrm{SO}(n+1)$. In this case a little more work reveals that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(M) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO(n+1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \mathrm{O(n+1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

That is, for even-dimensional spheres, we must add to $G$ the orientation-reversing isometries to obtain the full symmetry group.
(5) Suppose $M$ is a Klein geometry with transitively acting Lie group $G$, where $G$ compact and connected and has trivial centre, and suppose that the isotropy subgroup $H$ at some point of $M$ is a maximal torus. Then $W$ is a *finite* group, namely the Weyl group of $G$.
(6) (Parabolic geometries) For a flag manifold $M$, such as a conformal sphere or projective space, $G$ is a connected semi-simple Lie group and the isotropy group $H$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G$. In this case also $W = N_G(H)/H$ is known to be finite.

2.2. **Generalized Mauer-Cartan forms.** We now make the definition that is central to all that follows. Let $G$ be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. From now on $\Sigma$ denotes a smooth, connected manifold, and we fix a Klein geometry $M$ with transitively acting Lie group $G$. By a *generalized Mauer-Cartan form* on $\Sigma$ we shall

mean a morphism $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie algebroids, for some Lie algebroid $A$ over $\Sigma$, satisfying the following axioms — all trivially satisfied by ordinary Mauer-Cartan forms ($M \cong G$, $A = T\Sigma$):

**M1.** $A$ is transitive.
**M2.** For every point $x \in \Sigma$, the restriction $\omega\colon A_x \to \mathfrak{g}$ is injective.
**M3.** For some point $x_0 \in \Sigma$ there exists $m_0 \in M$ such that $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$ (see §1.5).

These axioms are modelled on properties of logarithmic derivatives, defined in §1.4. In particular, the logarithmic derivative $\delta f\colon A(f) \to \mathfrak{g}$ of a smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ satisfies M3 because

$$\delta f\,(\,A(f)_x\,) = \mathfrak{g}_{f(x)}, \tag{1}$$

for *all* $x \in \Sigma$. This identity has a global analogue needed later: If $\mathcal{G}(f)$ denotes the pullback of the action groupoid $G \times M$ — whose Lie algebroid is $A(f)$ — and $\Delta f\colon \mathcal{G}(f) \to G$ the composite groupoid morphism $\mathcal{G}(f) \to G \times M \to G$ — whose derivative is $\delta f$ — then

$$\Delta f(\,\mathcal{G}(f)_x\,) = G_{f(x)}; \qquad x \in \Sigma. \tag{2}$$

Here $\mathcal{G}(f)_x$ is the isotropy of $\mathcal{G}(f)$ at $x$ and $G_{f(x)}$ the usual isotropy of the action of $G$ on $M$.

In fact our existence result quoted earlier implies a stronger form of M3 in the general case:

**Theorem** (strengthening of M3)**.** *For any generalized Mauer-Cartan form* $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ *there exists, for* every $x \in \Sigma$*, some* $m \in M$ *such that* $x \xrightarrow{\omega} m$*.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathrm{M3}_x(\omega)$ be the property that there exists $m \in M$ such that $x \xrightarrow{\omega} m$. First suppose $\Sigma$ is simply-connected. Then by existence Theorem 1.7, $\omega$ has a principal primitive $f\colon \Sigma \to M$. Since $\mathrm{M3}_x(\delta f)$ holds at all $x \in \Sigma$, by (1), it is easy to see that $\mathrm{M3}_x(\omega)$ must also hold for all $x \in \Sigma$ also.

In the general case, we may find an open cover of $\Sigma$ by simply-connected open sets $U_j$, $j \in J$. Supposing $\omega$ is a Mauer-Cartan form, $\mathrm{M3}_{x_0}(\omega)$ holds for some point $x_0 \in \Sigma$. Now let $x \in \Sigma$ be any other point. Then we can join $x$ to $x_0$ by a path $\gamma\colon I \to \Sigma$ whose image will be the union of finitely many of its intersections with open sets in the cover. We can therefore find $t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N \in I$ and $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_N \in J$ such that $\gamma(t_0) = x_0$, $\gamma(t_N) = x$, and $\{\gamma(t_{i-1}), \gamma(t_i)\} \subset U_{j_i}$ whenever $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. If $\mathrm{M3}_{\gamma(t_{i-1})}(\omega)$ holds then $\mathrm{M3}_{\gamma(t_i)}(\omega)$ holds because we can apply the theorem in the special case established in the previous paragraph to $\omega|_{U_{j_i}}$. We therefore conclude that $\mathrm{M3}_x(\omega)$ holds by a finite induction on $i$ □

Henceforth we drop the qualification 'generalized': All Mauer-Cartan forms and logarithmic derivatives will be understood in the generalized sense.

2.3. **Morphisms between Mauer-Cartan forms.** With $\Sigma$ and $M$ fixed as above, we collect all associated Mauer-Cartan forms into the objects of a category. In this category a morphism $\omega_1 \to \omega_2$ between objects $\omega_1 \colon A_1 \to \mathfrak{g}$ and $\omega_2 \colon A_2 \to \mathfrak{g}$ consists of a Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda \colon A_1 \to A_2$ covering the identity on $\Sigma$ and an element $l \in G$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\omega_1} & \mathfrak{g} \\ {\scriptstyle\lambda}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ad}_l} \\ A_2 & \xrightarrow{\omega_2} & \mathfrak{g} \end{array} . \tag{1}$$

If $\lambda$ is injective, we will say that $\omega_1 \to \omega_2$ is *monic*. The preceding abstractions are justified by the following observation (strengthened in special cases in Theorem 2.7 below):

**Proposition.** *Let $f_1 \colon \Sigma \to M$ be a smooth map into a Klein geometry and define a second smooth map $f_2 \colon \Sigma \to M$ by $f_2 = \phi \circ f_1$, for some $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$. Then $\delta f_1$ and $\delta f_2$ are isomorphic in the category of Mauer-Cartan forms.*

That is, smooth maps $f_1, f_2 \colon \Sigma \to M$ agreeing up to a symmetry of $M$ have isomorphic logarithmic derivatives.

*Proof.* Supposing $f_2 = \phi \circ f_1$, $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$, define $l \in G$ as in Definition 2.1. Then the map $\mathrm{Ad}_l \times \phi$, defined by

$$\begin{gathered} (\xi, x) \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad}_l\, \xi, \phi(x)) \\ \mathfrak{g} \times M \to \mathfrak{g} \times M \end{gathered}$$

is a Lie algebroid automorphism of the action algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \times M$ covering $\phi \colon M \to M$. In particular, the composite $A(f_1) \to \mathfrak{g} \times M \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}_l \times \phi} \mathfrak{g} \times M$ is a Lie algebroid morphism $J$ sitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(f_1) & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathfrak{g} \times M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T\Sigma & \xrightarrow[Tf_2]{} & TM \end{array} .$$

The vertical arrows indicate anchor maps. Explicitly, we have

$$J(X) = (\mathrm{Ad}_l\, \delta f_1(X), f_2(\lrcorner X)),$$

where $\lrcorner X$ denotes the basepoint of $X$.

As $A(f_2)$ is the pullback of $\mathfrak{g} \times M$ under $f_2$, we obtain, from the universal property of pullbacks, a unique Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda \colon A(f_1) \to A(f_2)$ such that $J$ is the composite

$$A(f_1) \xrightarrow{\lambda} A(f_2) \xrightarrow{\delta f_2} \mathfrak{g} \times M.$$

This immediately implies commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(f_1) & \xrightarrow{\delta f_1} & \mathfrak{g} \\ {\scriptstyle\lambda}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ad}_l} \\ A(f_2) & \xrightarrow{\delta f_2} & \mathfrak{g} \end{array} \quad . \tag{2}$$

One argues that $\lambda$ is an isomorphism by replacing $\phi$ with $\phi^{-1}$ and reversing the roles of $f_1$ and $f_2$. □

2.4. **Primitives.** A smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ will be called a *primitive* of the Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ if there exists a morphism $\omega \to \delta f$. Evidently, every principal primitive is a primitive.

2.5. **Maximal Mauer-Cartan forms.** Note that Axioms M1 and M2 imply the following restrictions on the rank of $A$ when $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a Mauer-Cartan form:

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim M \leqslant \operatorname{rank} A \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim M + \dim \Sigma.$$

We say $\omega$ is *maximal* if $A$ has maximal rank, i.e., if

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} - \operatorname{rank} A = \dim M - \dim \Sigma.$$

In this case it follows from Theorem 2.2 and a dimension count that

**M3′.** For any point $x \in \Sigma$ there exists $m \in M$ such that $\omega(A_x) = \mathfrak{g}_m$.

Logarithmic derivatives and ordinary Mauer-Cartan forms are always maximal.

**Lemma.** Every morphism $\omega \to \delta f$ is monic. In particular, if $\omega$ is maximal, then $\omega \to \delta f$ is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* A morphism $\omega \to \delta f$ consists of a Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda\colon A \to A(f)$ covering the identity on $\Sigma$, and $l \in G$, such that

$$\delta f(\lambda(a)) = \mathrm{Ad}_l\, \omega(a); \qquad a \in A. \tag{1}$$

Suppose $\lambda(a) = 0$, $a$ an element of $A$ with basepoint $x \in \Sigma$. Since $\lambda$ is a Lie algebroid morphism covering the identity, we have $\#a = 0$, i.e., $a \in A_x$. Since $\omega(a) = 0$, by (1), Axiom M2 of Mauer-Cartan forms implies $a = 0$. □

The existence Theorem 1.7 has the following corollary (of which we make no further use):

**Corollary.** *Every Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ with trivial monodromy has an extension to a maximal Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A' \to \mathfrak{g}$.*

*Proof.* By the existence theorem, $\omega$ admits a principal primitive $f\colon \Sigma \to M$. That is, there exists a a morphism $\lambda\colon A \to A(f)$, injective by the lemma, whose logarithmic derivative $\delta f\colon A(f) \to \mathfrak{g}$ fits into the commutative diagram 1.6(1). The logarithmic derivative of $f$ is then a maximal Mauer-Cartan form extending $\omega$. □

2.6. **The existence of primitives implies integrability.** We may now dispense with the integrability claim of Theorem 1.7:

**Proposition.** *If a Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ admits a primitive then $A$ is integrable.*

*Proof.* By the definition of primitives, there exists a Lie algebroid morphism $L\colon A \to A(f)$ covering the identity, and $L$ is injective by Lemma 2.5. That is, we may regard $A$ as a subalgebroid of $A(f)$, the latter being a Lie algebroid of the pullback by $f$ of the action groupoid $G \times M$. Since subalgebroids of integrable Lie algebroids are integrable [12, Proposition 3.4] $A$ is integrable. □

2.7. **Uniqueness of primitives.** As usual, suppose $G$ acts transitively on $M$, and let $G^\circ_{m_0}$ denote the connected component of the isotropy $G_{m_0}$ at some $m_0 \in M$. Then since $G^\circ_{m_0}$ is *path*-connected, $N_G(G_{m_0}) \subset N_G(G^\circ_{m_0})$.

**Definition.** We say the isotropy groups of the $G$ action are *weakly connected* if for some (and hence any) $m_0 \in M$, we have $N_G(G_{m_0}) = N_G(G^\circ_{m_0})$.

**Example.** If $M$ is one of the Riemannian space forms $\mathbb{R}^n$, $S^n$ or $\mathbb{H}^n$, and $G$ is the full group of isometries, then although the isotropy groups of the action of $G$ on $M$ are not connected, they *are* weakly connected.

A proof of the following central result appears below.

**Theorem.** *Suppose the action of $G$ on $M$ has weakly connected isotropy groups. Let $f_1, f_2\colon \Sigma \to M$ be smooth maps. Then there exists an isomorphism $\delta f_1 \cong \delta f_2$ in the category of Mauer-Cartan forms* if and only if *there exists $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ such that $f_2 = \phi \circ f_1$.*

In contrast to the classical setting (Theorem 1.2) there may exist more than one choice of $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ for which $f_2 = \phi \circ f_1$, even if $G$ acts faithfully on $M$. For example, consider two *constant* maps $f_1, f_2$.

Combining the theorem with the lemma above, we obtain:

**Corollary** (uniqueness of primitives)**.** *If the action of $G$ on $M$ has weakly connected isotropy groups then primitives $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ of a maximal Mauer-Cartan form are unique, up to symmetries of $M$.*

A non-maximal Mauer-Cartan form may have distinct primitives not related by a symmetry:

**Example.** Let $G$ be the group of isometries of the plane $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ identified with the Killing fields. Let $x, y\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the standard coordinate functions and let $\omega\colon T\mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the generalized Mauer-Cartan form[4] defined by

$$\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = -y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{(a constant element of } \mathfrak{g}\text{)}.$$

[4]Actually an ordinary Mauer-Cartan form in this case but we are understanding primitives as maps into $\mathbb{R}^2$, not maps into $G$!

Then for any $r \geqslant 0$ the map $f(t) = (r\cos t, r\sin t)$ is a primitive of $\omega$.

For the proof of the theorem we need one additional observation, also needed later:

**Proposition.** *Suppose $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ is a principal primitive of a Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$. Assume $A$ is integrable and let $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G$ be the invariant form of the monodromy of $\omega$, as defined in §1.8. Then, for any $x \in \Sigma$, one has $x \xrightarrow{\omega} f(x)$, and for any $x_0 \in \Sigma$,*

$$f(x) = \Omega(p) \cdot f(x_0),$$

*where $p \in \mathcal{G}$ is any arrow from $x_0$ to $x$.*

*Proof.* For some Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda\colon A \to A(f)$, we a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \mathfrak{g} \\ {\scriptstyle\lambda}\downarrow & & \uparrow \\ A(f) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \times M \end{array} \quad .$$

Since $\lambda$ covers the identity, the claim $x \xrightarrow{\omega} f(x)$ follows easily from commutativity and the definition of the bottom map. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is source-simply-connected, $\lambda$ is the derivative of a Lie groupoid morphism $\Lambda\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}(f)$ and the following diagram commutes (because the composites being compared have a source-connected domain and identical derivatives, by the commutativity of the preceding diagram):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\Omega} & G \\ {\scriptstyle\Lambda}\downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{G}(f) & \longrightarrow & G \times M \end{array} \quad .$$

Recall that $\mathcal{G}(f)$ is the pullback of the action groupoid $G \times M$ by $f$. In particular, if we define $F$ to be the composite Lie groupoid morphism $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{G}(f) \to G \times M$, then $F$ covers $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ and, by the commutativity,

$$F(p) = (\Omega(p), \alpha(p)), \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha$ denotes source projection. But as $F\colon \mathcal{G} \to G \times M$ must respect the target projections, denoted $\beta$, we also have $f(\beta(p)) = \beta(F(p))$. Now (1) gives

$$f(\beta(p)) = \Omega(p) \cdot \alpha(p),$$

which proves the proposition. □

*Proof of theorem (for $\Sigma$ simply-connected).* That $\delta f_1$ and $\delta f_2$ must be isomorphic when $f_2 = \phi \circ f_1$, $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$, is Proposition 2.3. Suppose $\delta f_1 \cong \delta f_2$ and assume initially that $\Sigma$ is simply-connected (needed in the proof of the lemma below). By

definition, there exists $l \in G$ and a Lie algebroid isomorphism $\lambda\colon A(f_2) \to A(f_1)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(f_1) & \xrightarrow{\delta f_1} & \mathfrak{g} \\ {\scriptstyle\lambda}\uparrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ad}_l} \\ A(f_2) & \xrightarrow{\delta f_2} & \mathfrak{g} \end{array} \quad . \tag{2}$$

Arbitrarily fixing a point $x_0 \in \Sigma$, we obtain from 2.2(1),

$$\delta f_1\Big( A(f_1)_{x_0} \Big) = \mathfrak{g}_{f_1(x_0)}, \qquad \delta f_2\Big( A(f_2)_{x_0} \Big) = \mathfrak{g}_{f_2(x_0)}. \tag{3}$$

For $i = 1$ or 2, let $\Omega_i\colon \mathcal{G}^i \to G$ denote the invariant form of the monodromy of $\delta f_i$, as defined in §1.8. The Lie algebroid of $\mathcal{G}^i$ is $A(f_i)$ and, by Lie II for Lie groupoids, there is a unique Lie groupoid isomorphism $\Lambda\colon \mathcal{G}^2 \to \mathcal{G}^1$ whose derivative is $\lambda$. Taking $\omega = \delta f_i$ in the preceding proposition, we obtain

$$f_1(x) = \Omega_1(p_1) \cdot f_1(x_0), \qquad f_2(x) = \Omega_2(p_2) \cdot f_2(x_0), \tag{4}$$

whenever $p_i \in \mathcal{G}^i$ is an arrow from $x_0$ to $x$. By the commutativity of (2), the Lie groupoid morphisms $\Omega_2\colon \mathcal{G}^2 \to G$ and $p_2 \mapsto l\Omega_1(\,\Lambda(p_2)\,)l^{-1}$ have the same derivative, namely $\delta f_2$, so they must coincide, because $\mathcal{G}^2$ is source-connected:

$$\Omega_2(p_2) = l\Omega_1(\,\Lambda(p_2)\,)l^{-1}; \qquad p_2 \in \mathcal{G}^2. \tag{5}$$

Since $\lambda$, and hence $\Lambda$, covers the identity on $\Sigma$, $p_1 \in \mathcal{G}^1$ is an arrow from $x_0$ to $x$ if and only if $p_2 := \Lambda(p_1) \in \mathcal{G}^2$ is an arrow from $x_0$ to $x$. This fact and (5) allow us to rewrite the second equation in (4) as $f_2(x) = l\Omega_1(p_1)l^{-1} \cdot f_2(x_0)$. Or, choosing $r \in G$ such that

$$f_2(x_0) = lr^{-1} \cdot f_1(x_0), \tag{6}$$

we have

$$f_1(x) = \Omega_1(p_1) \cdot f_1(x_0), \qquad f_2(x) = l\Omega_1(p_1)r^{-1} \cdot f_1(x_0), \tag{7}$$

whenever $p_1 \in \mathcal{G}^1$ is an arrow from $x_0$ to $x$.

**Lemma.** *$r \in G$ lies in the normaliser of $G_{f_1(x_0)}$.*

Assuming the lemma holds, there exists, by the characterization of symmetries in Proposition 2.1, an element $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ well-defined by $\phi(g \cdot f_1(x_0)) = lgr^{-1} \cdot f_1(x_0)$. Then (7) gives us $f_2(x) = \phi(f_1(x))$, as required. □

*Proof of lemma.* Since we assume the isotropy groups of the action of $G$ on $M$ are weakly connected, it suffices to show $r \in N_G(G^\circ_{f_1(x_0)})$. We claim

$$\Omega_1\Big( \mathcal{G}^1_{x_0} \Big) = G^\circ_{f_1(x_0)}, \tag{8}$$

$$\Omega_2\Big( \mathcal{G}^2_{x_0} \Big) = G^\circ_{f_2(x_0)}. \tag{9}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}^i$ is transitive and source-connected ($i \in \{1, 2\}$) the restriction of the target projection of $\mathcal{G}^i$ to the source-fibre over $x_0$ is a principal $\mathcal{G}^i_{x_0}$-bundle over $\Sigma$. Since we assume $\Sigma$ is simply-connected, $\mathcal{G}^i_{x_0}$ is connected, by the long exact homotopy sequence for this principal bundle. It follows that (8) and (9) are consequences of their infinitesimal analogues, which already appear in (3) above.

Because $\Lambda\colon \mathcal{G}^2 \to \mathcal{G}^1$ is a Lie groupoid isomorphism covering the identity, we have

$$\Lambda\Big(\mathcal{G}^2_{x_0}\Big) = \mathcal{G}^1_{x_0}. \tag{10}$$

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} rG^\circ_{f_1(x_0)}r^{-1} = l^{-1}G^\circ_{lr\cdot f_1(x_0)}l = l^{-1}G^\circ_{f_2(x_0)}l = l^{-1}\Omega_2\Big(\mathcal{G}^2_{x_0}\Big)l \\ = \Omega_1\Big(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}^2_{x_0})\Big) = \Omega_1\Big(\mathcal{G}^1_{x_0}\Big) = G^\circ_{f_1(x_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

The second and subsequent equalities in this computation follow from Equations (6), (9), (5), (10) and (8) respectively. □

*Proof of theorem (general case).* If $\delta f_1 \cong \delta f_2$ but $\Sigma$ is not simply-connected, then $\delta(f_1 \circ \pi) \cong \delta(f_2 \circ \pi)$, where $\pi\colon \tilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ denotes the universal covering map, as it is not difficult to see. By the result just proven in the simply-connected case, there exists $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ such that $f_1 \circ \pi = \phi \circ f_2 \circ \pi$. But as $\pi$ is surjective, this immediately implies $f_1 = \phi \circ f_2$. □

2.8. **The main theorem.** Suppose $f$ is a primitive of a Mauer-Cartan form $\omega$, so that $\delta f(\lambda(X)) = \mathrm{Ad}_l\,\omega(X)$, for some Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda$ and element $l \in G$. Then it is not hard to show that $f'(x) = l^{-1} \cdot f(x)$ defines a *principal* primitive of $\omega$. That is, the existence of primitives already implies the existence of principal primitives. We may therefore summarise our existence Theorem 1.7, and our uniqueness result, Corollary 2.7 as follows:

**Theorem.** *A Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ admits a primitive $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ if and only if it has trivial monodromy, for some choice of $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and $m_0 \in M$ with $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$, in which case $A$ is integrable. Assuming the isotropy groups of the action of $G$ on $M$ are weakly connected, the primitive $f$ is unique up to symmetry.*

We reiterate that 'symmetry' is to be understood in the sense Definition 2.1.

## 3. The existence of primitives

The remainder of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.7 that was outlined in Section 1.

3.1. **Basic properties of $A$-paths.** Let $I$ denote the interval $[0,1]$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie groupoid over $M$ with Lie algebroid $A$, then a *$\mathcal{G}$-path* is a smooth map $g\colon I \to \mathcal{G}$ whose image lies within a single source-fibre, and such that $g(0)$ is an identity element. Its *derivative*, is an $A$-path $a\colon I \to A$, defined by

$$a(\tau) = \frac{d}{dt} g(t)g(\tau)^{-1}\Big|_{t=\tau}.$$

Conversely, every $A$-path $a$, is the derivative of a unique $\mathcal{G}$-path $g$, its *integral*, and, generalizing earlier notation, we write

$$\int_0^t a := g(t); \qquad t \in I.$$

As more than one Lie groupoid may have the same Lie algebroid, we shall take care to specify the Lie groupoid in which this integration is taking place, when the ambiguity is not mitigated by the context.

Every $A$-path $a$ determines a Lie algebroid morphism $\hat{a}\colon TI \to A$ defined by $\hat{a}(\partial/\partial t) = a$ and all such morphisms arise from $A$-paths. If $\tau\colon I \to I$ is a change of parameter (orientation-preserving diffeomorphism) then the corresponding *reparameterisation* $a^\tau$, defined by $a^\tau(t) = \tau'(t)a(\tau(t))$ is another $A$-path. Given two $A$-paths $a_1, a_0$ that are composable (i.e., with $a_1(0) = a_0(1)$) their *concatenation* $a_1 \odot a_0$, defined by

$$a_1 \odot a_0 = \begin{cases} 2a_0(2t), & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2a_1(2t-1), & \frac{1}{2} < t \leqslant 1, \end{cases}$$

is an $A$-path, except possibly at $t = 1/2$ where smoothness may fail.

The following are elementary observations:

**Proposition.** *Suppose $A$ is the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. Then:*

(1) *For any $A$-path $a\colon I \to A$ and change of parameter $\tau\colon I \to I$,*

$$\int_0^1 a^\tau = \int_0^1 a.$$

(2) *For any two composable $A$-paths $a_1, a_0$,*

$$\int_0^1 a_1 \odot a_0 = \left(\int_0^1 a_1\right)\left(\int_0^1 a_0\right).$$

(3) *Every Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda\colon A_1 \to A_2$ maps $A_1$-paths to $A_2$-paths, and if $\lambda$ is the derivative of a Lie groupoid morphism $\Lambda\colon \mathcal{G}^1 \to \mathcal{G}^2$, then, for any $A_1$-path $a$,*

$$\int_0^t \lambda \circ a = \Lambda\left(\int_0^t a\right); \qquad t \in I.$$

3.2. **Existence of primitives implies trivial monodromy.** Let $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ be a principal primitive of some Mauer-Cartan form $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$. Fix $x_0 \in \Sigma$ arbitrarily and put $m_0 = f(x_0)$. Then the corresponding pointed form of the monodromy is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}([\gamma]) = \left(\int_0^1 \omega \circ a\right) \cdot m_0,$$

where $a$ is any $A$-path covering $\gamma$, a path (loop) beginning and ending at $x_0$. Since $f$ is a principal primitive, we have $\omega = \delta f \circ L$, for some Lie algebroid morphism $L\colon A \to A(f)$, and whence

$$\int_0^1 \omega \circ a = \int_0^1 \delta f \circ L \circ a.$$

But $\delta f\colon A(f) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the derivative of $\Delta(f)\colon \mathcal{G}(f) \to G$ defined in §2.2 and, applying Proposition 3.1(3), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \omega \circ a = \Delta f \left(\int_0^1 L \circ a\right). \tag{1}$$

On the right-hand side we are integrating in the Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}(f)$. However, as $L \circ a$ covers the loop $\gamma$ based at $x_0$, we have $\int_0^1 L \circ a \in \mathcal{G}(f)_{x_0}$. The identity 2.2(2) therefore ensures that the right-hand side of (1) lies in $G_{m_0}$, and therefore that the monodromy is trivial. This establishes necessity of the condition given in Theorem 1.7.

3.3. **Existence of primitives in the integrable case.** Let $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ be a Mauer-Cartan form and let us assume, a priori, that $A$ is integrable. Motivated by Proposition 2.7, a candidate for a principal primitive of $\omega$ is defined by

$$f(x) = \Omega(p) \cdot m_0; \qquad p \in \mathcal{G} \text{ an arrow from } x_0 \text{ to } x. \tag{1}$$

According to arguments outlined in §1.8, this candidate is well-defined if we assume the pointed form of the monodromy $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ is trivial, for some $x_0$ and $m_0$ satisfying $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$, when $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ is defined in terms of the invariant form of monodromy $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G$ according to 1.8(4). We pause to show this version of $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ coincides with the definitive one given in §1.7.

Indeed, suppose $p_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{x_0}$ is given. Then $\rho(p_1) = [\gamma]$, where $\gamma(t) := \beta(p(t))$ and $p\colon I \to \mathcal{G}$ is any $\mathcal{G}$-path satisfying $p(0) = x_0$ and $p(1) = p_1$. Here $\beta$ denotes target projection. The derivative of the $\mathcal{G}$-path $p$ is an $A$-path $a$ covering the loop $\gamma$ based at $x_0$ and, in particular, $p_1 = \int_0^1 a$, so that

$$\Omega(p_1) = \Omega\left(\int_0^1 a\right) = \int_0^1 \omega \circ a,$$

by Proposition 3.1(3). So the two definitions of $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ coincide.

It is not difficult to argue that show $f$ is smooth. We now show $f$ is a principal primitive. First, we claim

$$Tf \cdot \#X = \#(\omega(X), f(\lrcorner X)); \qquad X \in A. \tag{2}$$

On the left $\#$ denotes the anchor of $A$, and on the right $\#$ denotes the anchor of the action algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \times M$; $\lrcorner X \in \Sigma$ denotes the basepoint of $X \in A$. To see this we differentiate (1) as follows: Let $p$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-path in the source-fibre over $x_0$ whose derivative $A$-path $a$ satisfies $a(t_0) = X$, $t_0 \in I$. Then, $\#X = \frac{d}{dt}\beta(p(t))|_{t=t_0}$ and, using (1), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} Tf \cdot \#X = \frac{d}{dt} f(\beta(p(t)))\Big|_{t=t_0} &= \frac{d}{dt}\Omega(p(t)) \cdot m_0\Big|_{t=t_0} \\ = \frac{d}{dt}\Omega\Big( p(t)p(t_0)^{-1} \Big) \cdot (\Omega(p(t_0)) \cdot m_0)\,\Big|_{t=t_0} &= \#\Big( \omega(a(t_0))\,,\, \lrcorner f(\beta(p(t_0)) \Big) \\ &= \#(\omega(X), f(\lrcorner X)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define $\theta\colon A \to \mathfrak{g} \times M$ by $\theta(X) = (\,\omega(X), f(\lrcorner X)\,)$ and claim that $\theta$ is a Lie algebroid morphism. Indeed $\theta$ is just the derivative of the Lie groupoid morphism $\Theta\colon \mathcal{G} \to G \times M$, defined by $\Theta(p) = (\Omega(p), f(\alpha(p))$, where $\alpha\colon \mathcal{G} \to M$ denotes source map and $G \times M$ is the action groupoid. By (2), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\ \theta\ } & \mathfrak{g} \times M \\ {\scriptstyle\#}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\#} \\ T\Sigma & \xrightarrow[Tf]{} & TM \end{array} .$$

By the universal characterization of pullbacks, in the category of Lie algebroids, there exists a *unique* Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda\colon A \to A(f)$ such that $\theta$ is the composite $A \xrightarrow{\lambda} A(f) \to \mathfrak{g} \times M$. With $\lambda$ so defined, we obtain the commutative diagram 1.6(1), establishing that $f$ is a principal primitive of $\omega$.

Proposition 2.7 ensures that $f$ is the unique principal primitive such that $f(x_0) = m_0$ and we can summarise as follows:

**Proposition.** *Let $A$ be an integrable Lie algebroid and $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ a Mauer-Cartan form. Suppose that for some $x_0$ and $m_0$ with $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$ the monodromy of $\omega$ is trivial. Then there exists a unique smooth map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ and a unique Lie algebroid morphism $\lambda\colon A \to A(f)$ such that $f(x_0) = m_0$ and $\omega = \delta f \circ \lambda$.*

3.4. **$A$-homotopies and the Weinstein groupoid.** Let $A$ be a Lie algebroid over $\Sigma$. Let $\epsilon, t\colon I^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the standard coordinate functions on the square $I^2$ and define $TI^2$-paths by

$$l(\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(0,\tau), \qquad r(\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(0,\tau), \qquad b(\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}(\tau,0), \qquad u(\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}(\tau,1).$$

Then $\hat{b}$, $\hat{u}$, $\hat{l}$ and $\hat{r}$ are the tangent maps of the standard inclusions of $I$ as bottom, upper, left and right edges of the square $I^2$, respectively (hat notation as in §3.1). Two $A$-paths $a_0$ and $a_1$ are *$A$-homotopic*, written $a_0 \sim a_1$, if they cover paths on $\Sigma$ sharing some common initial point $x \in \Sigma$ and some common final point $y \in \Sigma$, and if there exists a Lie algebroid morphism $H\colon TI^2 \to A$, called an *$A$-homotopy* from $a_0$ to $a_1$, such that

$$H \circ l = a_0, \qquad H \circ r = a_1, \qquad H \circ b = 0_x, \qquad H \circ u = 0_y. \tag{1}$$

Here $0_x$ denotes the trivial $A$-path covering the constant path $t \mapsto x$.

If $A$ is integrated by a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, then the derivative of two $\mathcal{G}$-paths homotopic in the usual sense (endpoints fixed) delivers, upon differentiation, two $A$-homotopic $A$-paths. If $a$ is an $A$-path then any reparameterisation $a^\tau$ is $A$-homotopic to $a$.

Let $a_0, a_1$ be $A$-paths covering ordinary paths on $\Sigma$ with common initial point $x$ and common final point $y$. A smooth map $(\epsilon, t) \mapsto a(\epsilon, t)\colon I^2 \to A$ is *variation of $A$-paths* from $a_0$ to $a_1$ if $t \mapsto a(\epsilon, t)$ is an $A$-path covering a path on $\Sigma$ from $x$ to $y$ for all $\epsilon \in I$, and if $a(0, t) = a_0(t)$ and $a(1, t) = a_1(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By constructing an appropriate variation between $A$-paths, we can show they are $A$-homotopic:

**Proposition** (Crainic and Fernandes [6])**.** *Let $a$ be a variation of $A$-paths from $a_0$ to $a_1$. Then there exists a unique map $(\epsilon, t) \mapsto b(\epsilon, t)\colon I^2 \to A$ such that: (i) $b(\epsilon, 0) = 0$ for all $\epsilon \in I$; and (ii) the map $H\colon TI^2 \to A$ defined by $H = dt \otimes a + d\epsilon \otimes b$ is a Lie algebroid morphism.*

In particular, if we obtain $b(\epsilon, 1) = 0$ for all $\epsilon \in I$, then $a_0 \sim a_1$.

The quotient of the set of $A$-paths by the equivalence relation $\sim$ has the structure of a topological groupoid $\mathcal{G}(A)$ [6]. The $A$-homotopy equivalence class with representative $a$ will be denoted $[a]$. Multiplication in the groupoid is then well-defined by $[a_1][a_0] = [a_1^\tau \odot a_0^\tau]$, where $\tau\colon I \to I$ is some fixed endpoint-smoothing change of parameter that will guarantee the smoothness of $a_1^\tau \odot a_0^\tau$. For example, we may take $\tau$ to be the restriction to $I$ of any smooth map $\phi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(t) = 0$, for $t \leqslant 0$, $\phi(t) = 1$, for $t \geqslant 1$, and $\phi'(t) > 0$, for $0 < t < 1$. We omit a description of the topology of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ as it plays no role here. For further details, see [7].

### 3.5. The homotopy invariance of development along $A$-paths.

**Proposition.** *Let $A$ be a Lie algebroid over $\Sigma$ and $\omega\colon A \to \mathfrak{g}$ any Lie algebroid morphism. If $A$-paths $a_0$ and $a_1$ are $A$-homotopic, then*

$$\int_0^1 \omega \circ a_0 = \int_0^1 \omega \circ a_1.$$

*Proof.* Let $H\colon TI^2 \to A$ be an $A$-homotopy between $a_0$ and $a_1$, so that 3.4(1) holds, for some $x, y \in \Sigma$. Label the corners of the square $I^2 = I \times I$ as follows: $P = (0, 0)$,

$Q = (1,0)$, $R = (1,0)$, $S = (1,1)$. Then, integrating in the pair groupoid $I^2 \times I^2$ (with source projection $((\epsilon_1, t_1), (\epsilon_2, t_2)) \mapsto (\epsilon_2, t_2)$), we have

$$\int_0^1 b = (Q, P), \qquad \int_0^1 u = (S, R), \qquad \int_0^1 l = (R, P), \qquad \int_0^1 r = (S, Q).$$

By Lie II, the composite $\omega \circ H \colon I^2 \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the derivative of a Lie groupoid morphism $\Phi \colon I^2 \times I^2 \to G$. Appealing to Proposition 3.1(3), we compute

$$\int_0^1 \omega \circ a_0 = \int_0^1 \omega \circ H \circ l = \Phi\left(\int_0^1 l\right) = \Phi(R, P)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \omega \circ a_1 = \int_0^1 \omega \circ H \circ r = \Phi\left(\int_0^1 l\right) = \Phi(S, Q).$$

On the other hand, if $0_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-path, then

$$1_G = \int_0^1 0_{\mathfrak{g}} = \int_0^1 \omega \circ H \circ u = \Phi\left(\int_0^1 u\right) = \Phi(S, R).$$

Similarly, integrating $\omega \circ H \circ b$, we obtain

$$1_G = \Phi(Q, P) \quad \text{and hence} \quad 1_G = \Phi(Q, P)^{-1} = \Phi(P, Q),$$

because $\Phi$ is a Lie groupoid morphism, a fact that also leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \omega \circ a_0 = \Phi(R, P) = 1_G\, \Phi(R, P) = \Phi(S, R)\Phi(R, P) = \Phi(S, P) \\ = \Phi(S, P)\, 1_G = \Phi(S, P)\Phi(P, Q) = \Phi(S, Q) = \int_0^1 \omega \circ a_1.\end{aligned}$$

□

3.6. **Monodromy in the general case.** By the homotopy invariance of development, the *invariant form* of the monodromy, $\Omega \colon \mathcal{G}(A) \to G$, is well-defined by

$$\Omega([a]) = \int_0^1 \omega \circ a \tag{1}$$

That $\Omega$ is a groupoid morphism follows from Proposition 3.1. We wish to show that the pointed form of the monodromy, given already in §1.7, is also well-defined.

Fixing $x_0$ and $m_0$ such that $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$, and mimicking the arguments of §1.8, we define $\rho \colon \mathcal{G}(A)_{x_0} \to \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0)$ by $\rho([a]) = [\gamma]$, where $\gamma$ is the path $\gamma \colon I \to \Sigma$ covered by $a \colon I \to A$. If we define $\mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0} = \ker \rho$, then we obtain the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0} \to \mathcal{G}(A)_{x_0} \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to 1 \ , \tag{2}$$

the analogue of 1.8(3). (Incidentally, $\mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0}$ is the connected component of $\mathcal{G}(A)_{x_0}$ in the topology of the Weinstein groupoid $\mathcal{G}(A)$.) If $A$ were integrable, then $\mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0}$ would be a Lie group with Lie algebra $A_{x_0}$, covered by the simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra $A_{x_0}$, which we denote here by $\mathcal{G}(A_{x_0})$. In the general case

there is still a canonical Lie group homomorphism $\mathcal{G}(A_{x_0}) \to \mathcal{G}(A)_{x_0}$, namely the map taking $\int_0^1 \xi$ to $[i_{x_0} \circ \xi]$, for an $A_{x_0}$-path $\xi$. Here $i_{x_0} \colon A_{x_0} \hookrightarrow A$ denotes the inclusion.

**Proposition.** *The morphism $\mathcal{G}(A_{x_0}) \to \mathcal{G}(A)_{x_0}$ is onto $\mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0}$.*

*Proof.* Let $a_0$ be an $A$-path covering some smooth path $\gamma_0 \colon I \to \Sigma$ with $\gamma_0(0) = x_0 = \gamma_0(1)$. Assuming the loop $\gamma_0$ is homotopically trivial, we must show $a_0$ is $A$-homotopic to an $A_{x_0}$-path.

Let $(\epsilon, t) \mapsto \gamma(\epsilon, t) \colon I^2 \to \Sigma$ denote a homotopy from $\gamma_0$ to the constant path $\gamma_1(t) := x_0$. Choose a time-dependent right-inverse $s_t \colon T\Sigma \to A$ for the anchor $\# \colon A \to T\Sigma$ satisfying $s_t(\dot\gamma_0(t)) = a_0(t)$, and define a variation $a$ of $A$-paths from $a_0$ to the trivial $A$-path $a_1(t) := 0_{x_0}$ by

$$a(\epsilon, t) = s_t\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\gamma(\epsilon, t)\right).$$

Applying Proposition 3.4, we obtain a Lie algebroid morphism $H = dt \otimes a + d\epsilon \otimes b$ from $TI^2$ to $A$, for some smooth map $(\epsilon, t) \mapsto b(\epsilon, t)$ satisfying $b(\epsilon, 0) = 0$ for all $\epsilon \in I$. Then $b_1(\epsilon) = b(\epsilon, 1)$ defines an $A$-path $b_1$, which means

$$\# b_1(\epsilon) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\gamma(\epsilon, 1).$$

But as $\gamma(\epsilon, 1) = x_0$, the right-hand side vanishes, and we conclude that $b_1$ is in fact an $A_{x_0}$-path. It will now suffice to show $a_0 \sim b_1^{-1}$, where $b_1^{-1}(t) := -b_1(1-t)$.

Let $h \colon I^2 \to I^2$ be any smooth map such that: (i) $t \mapsto h(0, t)$ is a smooth reparameterisation of the concatenation of $t \mapsto (1-t, 0)$ and $t \mapsto (0, t)$; (ii) $t \mapsto h(1, t)$ is a smooth reparameterisation of the concatenation of $t \mapsto (1, t)$ and $t \mapsto (1-t, 1)$; (iii) $h(t, 0) = (1, 0)$ and $h(t, 1) = (0, 1)$, for all $t \in I$. Then the map $H' := H \circ Th \colon TI^2 \to A$ is an $A$-homotopy from a reparameterisation of the $A$-path $0_{x_0} \odot a_0$ to a reparameterisation of the $A$-path $0_{x_0} \odot b_1^{-1}$. In particular, we conclude $0_{x_0} \odot a_0 \sim 0_{x_0} \odot b_1^{-1}$ and whence $a_0 \sim b_1^{-1}$. □

We may now prove an analogue of 1.8(2), namely

$$\Omega\Big(\mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0}\Big) \subset G_{m_0}. \tag{3}$$

First, by Lie II, the composite morphism $A_{x_0} \xrightarrow{i_{x_0}} A \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathfrak{g}$ is the derivative of a Lie group homomorphism $\Omega_{x_0} \colon \mathcal{G}(A_{x_0}) \to G$. Second, as $x_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} m_0$ and $\mathcal{G}(A_{x_0})$ is connected,

$$\operatorname{im} \Omega_{x_0} \subset G_{m_0} \tag{4}$$

Finally, supposing $p \in \mathcal{G}(A)^\circ_{x_0}$, we have, by the proposition, $p = [i_{x_0} \circ \xi]$, for some $A_{x_0}$-path $\xi$, and compute

$$\Omega(p) = \Omega([i_{x_0} \circ \xi]) = \int_0^1 \omega \circ i_{x_0} \circ \xi = \Omega_{x_0}\left(\int_0^1 \xi\right),$$

by Proposition 3.1(3). Evidently, (3) now follows from (4).

The exactness of (2) and Equation (3) now show that $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}\colon \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) \to M$ is well-defined by $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}(\rho([a])) = \Omega([a]) \cdot m_0$. But this is precisely the definition of $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ given in §1.7 and the first statement in Theorem 1.7 is now proven.

3.7. **Existence of primitives in the general case.** Assuming that $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ has constant value $m_0$, a mapping $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ is well-defined by

$$f(x) = \Omega(p) \cdot m_0, \tag{1}$$

where $p \in \mathcal{G}(A)$ is any arrow from $x_0$ to $x$. This follows from the construction of $\Omega_{\mathrm{pt}}$ given immediately above.

**Proposition.** *For all $x \in \Sigma$, $x \xrightarrow{\omega} f(x)$.*

*Proof.* Let $p$ be an arrow from $x$ to $x_0$, so that $f(x) = g \cdot m_0$, where $g := \Omega(p)$. Then, appealing to 3.6(3), we obtain

$$\Omega(p)\Omega\Big(\mathcal{G}(A)^{\circ}_{x_0}\Big)\Omega(p)^{-1} \subset gG_{m_0}g^{-1} = G_{g\cdot m_0} = G_{f(x)}.$$

Or, as $\Omega$ is a groupoid morphism,

$$\Omega(\mathcal{G}(A)^{\circ}_{x}) \subset G_{f(x)}. \tag{2}$$

We need to show $\omega(A_x) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{f(x)}$, the 'infinitesimalization' of (2). So let $X \in A_x$ and define a time-dependent $A_x$-path $\xi^t$ by $\xi^t(\tau) = tX$, so that

$$X = \frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \xi^t \,\Big|_{t=0}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(X) = \omega(i_x(X)) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Omega_x\left(\int_0^1 \xi^t\right)\Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \omega \circ i_x \circ \xi^t \,\Big|_{t=0}, && \text{by Proposition 3.1(3)} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\Omega([i_x \circ \xi^t])\,\Big|_{t=0}, && \text{by 3.6(1).} \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

The first integral denotes integration in $\mathcal{G}(A_x)$, and the second, integration in $G$; $i_x$ and $\Omega_x$ are defined in §3.6. Since $[i_x \circ \xi^t]$ lies in $\mathcal{G}(A)^{\circ}_x$, it follows from (2) that the right-hand side of (3) lies in $\mathfrak{g}_{f(x)}$. □

Now cover $\Sigma$ with open sets $U_j$, $j \in J$, such that $U_j$ is $k$-connected for $k = 0, 1, 2$. For each $j \in J$, fix some $x_j \in U_j$. By the proposition, $x_j \xrightarrow{\omega} f(x_j)$. By the connectedness assumption, $A|_{U_j}$ is an integrable Lie algebroid [6] and, applying Proposition 3.3, there exist a unique smooth map $f_j\colon U_j \to M$ and a unique Lie algebroid morphism $L_j\colon A|_{U_j} \to A(f_j)$ such that $\omega|_{U_j} = \delta f_j \circ L_j$ and $f_j(x_j) = f(x_j)$.

We claim $f = f_j$ on $U_j$. To show this, let us denote the invariant monodromy of $\omega|_{U_j}$ (in the sense of §(1.8)) by $\Omega^j\colon \mathcal{G}^j \to G$. There is a natural groupoid morphism

$\Phi_j\colon \mathcal{G}^j \to \mathcal{G}(A)$ defined as follows: For $p \in \mathcal{G}^j$ let $t \mapsto \Gamma(t)$ be a $\mathcal{G}^j$-path with $\Gamma(1) = p$ and let $a\colon I \to A$ be its derivative. Then $\Phi_j(p) = [a]$. Since $\Omega^j$ and $\Omega \circ \Phi_j$ have the same derivative (namely $\omega|_{U_j}$), and $\mathcal{G}^j$ is source-connected, we have

$$\Omega^j(p) = \Omega(\Phi_j(p)); \qquad p \in \mathcal{G}^j. \tag{4}$$

Fix an arrow $p_j \in \mathcal{G}(A)$ from $x_0$ to $x_j$. Let $x \in U_j$ be arbitrary and choose $p \in \mathcal{G}^j$ such that $\Phi_j(p) \in \mathcal{G}^j$ is an arrow from $x_j$ to $x$. Then, appealing to (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \Omega(\Phi_j(p)p_j) \cdot x_0 = \Omega(\Phi_j(p))\Omega(p_j) \cdot x_0 \\ &= \Omega(\Phi_j(p)) \cdot f(x_j) = \Omega^j(p) \cdot f(x_j), \qquad \text{by (4)} \\ &= \Omega^j(p) \cdot f_j(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

However, the last expression coincides with $f_j(x)$, by Proposition 2.7 (there taking $x_0 := x_j$). So $f(x) = f_j(x)$.

Since $f$ coincides with $f_j$ on $U_j$, $f$ is smooth and $A(f)|_{U_j} = A(f_j)$. By the uniqueness part of Proposition 3.3, $L_j$ and $L_k$ coincide on $A|_{U_j \cap U_k}$ for any non-empty overlap $U_j \cap U_k$ and we may collate the $L_j$, $j \in J$, to obtain a single Lie algebroid morphism $L\colon A \to A(f)$. By construction, we will have $\omega = \delta f_j \circ L$ on $U_j$, and whence $\omega = \delta f \circ L$. This shows $f$ is a principal primitive of $\omega$.

## References


[1] R. Almeida and P. Molino. Suites d'Atiyah et feuilletages transversalement complets. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 300(1):13–15, 1985.

[2] A. D. Blaom. Lie algebroid invariants for subgeometry. Preprint, 2017. arXiv:1703.03851.

[3] F. E. Burstall and D. M. J. Calderbank. Submanifold geometry in generalized flag manifolds. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.*, (72):13–41, 2004.

[4] F. E. Burstall and D.M. J. Calderbank. Conformal submanifold geometry I–III. Preprint, 2010. arXiv:1006.5700.

[5] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein. *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, volume 10 of *Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[6] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes. Integrability of Lie brackets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(2):575–620, 2003.

[7] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes. Lectures on integrability of Lie brackets. In *Lectures on Poisson geometry*, volume 17 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 1–107. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2011.

[8] Jean-Paul Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung. *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, volume 242 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.

[9] T. A. Ivey and J. M. Landsberg. *Cartan for Beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems* , volume 61 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, 2003.

[10] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[11] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[12] Ieke Moerdijk and Janez Mrčun. On integrability of infinitesimal actions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 124(3):567–593, 2002.
[13] Jean Pradines. Troisième théorème de Lie les groupoïdes différentiables. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 267:A21–A23, 1968.
[14] R. W. Sharpe. *Differential Geometry: Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program*, volume 166 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 1997.

E-MAIL: anthony.blaom@gmail.com